
Bibliographic Guides

Jonangpa and Shentong:
A Bibliography of
English Language Materials

Eastern Tradition Research Institute

Eastern Tradition Research Institute's *Bibliographic Guides* are compiled and annotated by David Reigle, in collaboration with Nancy Reigle, who are solely responsible for their content.

updated January 2007
© 2006 Eastern Tradition Research Institute
Cotopaxi, Colorado, U.S.A.

INTRODUCTION

The Buddhist Madhyamaka or Middle Way school taught that everything is empty (*śūnya/stong pa*) of inherent existence or inherent nature (*svabhāva/rang bzhin*). That is, since things are not found to have an independent nature of their own, but can exist only in dependence on causes and conditions, they cannot be said to inherently exist. This school was followed by virtually all Buddhists in Tibet. The majority understood this to mean that not only everything we see in conventional reality, but also whatever we postulate as ultimate reality, is empty of inherent existence. In other words, there is nothing that exists ultimately. Some, however, understood this to mean only that conventional reality is empty of inherent existence, but ultimate reality is not. Rather, it is empty (*stong*) of everything other (*gzhan*) than itself. It in some sense does exist ultimately, but it is beyond the range of dualistic concepts of existence and non-existence. This is the Shentong (*gzhan stong*) doctrine. This was first promulgated by the Tibetan teacher Dolpopa (1292-1361) and his Jonangpa order.

Our main source on the Shentong doctrine as originally taught is Dolpopa's magnum opus, *Ri chos nges don rgya mtsho*. A complete English translation of this large book was published under the title, *Mountain Doctrine; Tibet's Fundamental Treatise on Other-Emptiness and the Buddha-Matrix*, in 2006. Thanks to Jeffrey Hopkins, the translator, we are now able to see this doctrine as it was originally taught. Dolpopa's books were banned in Tibet in the mid-1600s C.E., and his Jonangpa order was suppressed. At that point, the Shentong teachings went largely into the Kagyu and Nyingma orders, where they were amalgamated with the distinctive teachings of those orders, and therefore necessarily somewhat altered from how Dolpopa originally taught them. As stated by Cyrus Stearns, "What is now taught as the Zhentong view in the Kagyü and Nyingma traditions represents a synthesis that has developed over the centuries, primarily in order to enable Dolpopa's most vital insights to be incorporated into the already established doctrines of the Great Seal [Mahāmudrā] and the Great Perfection [Dzogchen]."¹

The Shentong doctrine, then, as found in the Kagyu and Nyingma orders today, differs from the Jonangpa Shentong doctrine originally taught by Dolpopa. In fact, Dolpopa strongly disagreed with a primary Mahāmudrā teaching, that is also found in Dzogchen. This is the teaching that one should simply recognize the nature of one's own mind, or the essence of one's own thoughts, to be the buddha-body of reality (*dharma-kāya*), in order to achieve enlightenment. The idea is that whatever arises in the mind is the mere play of the wisdom. So one need not try to stop negative thoughts and emotions, but rather, just recognize them as the *dharma-kāya* or the play of wisdom. If you are successful in this practice, you will become enlightened. Dolpopa disagreed strongly with this. He responded that this is like saying that if you recognize fire as the *dharma-kāya*, it will not burn you, or if you realize poison as the *dharma-kāya*, it will not harm you. He held that negative thoughts and emotions obscure the *dharma-kāya* like clouds obscure the sun, and that these must be removed through spiritual practices aimed at stopping their arising. Thus, although the Shentong doctrine provides, for all who accept it, the teaching that the *dharma-kāya* exists ultimately, the methods for realizing it differ significantly between the Jonangpas on the one hand, and the Kagyu Mahāmudrā and Nyingma Dzogchen on the other.²

For the Jonangpas, the way to achieve enlightenment is, above all, through Kālacakra practice. Dolpopa was renowned as a master of the Kālacakra six-limbed yoga, and the Jonangpa expertise in this is acknowledged even by their strongest critics, the Gelugpas.³ So, while the doctrinal differences between the Jonangpas and the Gelugpas are significant, there is no conflict when it comes to Kālacakra practice. Here they come together, both being primary proponents of the Kālacakra teachings.

Doctrinally, the Gelugpas follow Prāsaṅgika Madhyamaka, the teaching that everything whatsoever is empty of inherent existence; i.e., that nothing exists ultimately. Those who accept the Shentong view follow what they term Great Madhyamaka, the Great Middle Way, teaching that ultimate reality is not empty of inherent existence, but instead is empty of everything other than itself; i.e., it exists ultimately. They both agree that

conventional reality is empty of inherent existence. Thus it is only on the status of the ultimate that there is disagreement. These contrasting views, often termed Rangtong (*rang stong*), “self-empty,” and Shentong, “other-empty,” have been debated in Tibet right up to the present.

About twenty-five years after Dolpopa brought out for the first time the Shentong teaching through his large book, the *Mountain Doctrine*, and only a few years before his death, he wrote a smaller book presenting this teaching more succinctly. This is called *The Fourth Council*, having reference to the three historical councils that were held to determine what authentic books existed that should constitute the Buddhist canon. In this book, Dolpopa cites as witnesses a large number of Buddhist texts to show the authenticity of the Shentong doctrine. It has been translated by Cyrus Stearns in his 1999 book, *The Buddha from Dolpo*. This book by Stearns, then, is the best place to start, before trying to read Dolpopa’s larger *Mountain Doctrine*.

The following bibliography includes materials in English on the Jonangpa order, and on the Shentong doctrine as taught by Jonangpas, Kagyupas, and Nyingmapas. Jonangpa materials are few, because their books had been banned, and were not widely available. A few stray volumes in Tibetan were found and published in the 1970s-1980s. In the early 1990s a seven-volume set of Dolpopa’s Collected Works was acquired from one of their few monasteries still remaining, located in a remote area of what was far eastern Tibet, and published. Further, there is now some access to Jonangpa teachers. So we can expect many more Jonangpa materials to be published in the near future.

Notes

1. Cyrus Stearns, *The Buddha from Dolpo*, p. 77.
2. More on Dolpopa’s views in this regard can be found in the book by Cyrus Stearns, *The Buddha from Dolpo*, pp. 98-105.
3. Tsongkhapa, 1357-1419, founder of the Gelugpa order, in his book, *The Essence of Eloquence*, criticized the Shentong doctrine that Dolpopa put forward, and Gelugpas have opposed it ever since.

**Bibliography of English Language Materials
on Jonangpa and Shentong (*gzhan-stong*)
(listed by date of publication)**

D. S. Ruegg. "The Jo nañ pas: A School of Buddhist Ontologists according to the *Grub mtha' sel gyi me loñ*." *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, vol. 83, 1963, pp. 73-91. (the first account of the history and doctrines of the Jonangpas to be published in English, before Jonangpa sources were available, so it is from a Gelugpa source that criticizes their doctrines)

Ringu Tulku. "Dependent Origination in Para-shunyata Madhyamika Philosophy (Based on Kongtrul's Works)," in *Aspects of Buddhism* (Silver Jubilee Commemorative Volume of the Sikkim Research Institute of Tibetology and Other Buddhist Studies, Gangtok, Sikkim). New Delhi: Vision Books, [1981], pp. 160-165; also in *Pratītyasamutpāda*. Sarnath, Varanasi: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies, 1986, pp. 330-336. (from a Kagyu source)

Leonard W. J. van der Kuijp. *Contributions to the Development of Tibetan Buddhist Epistemology, From the eleventh to the thirteenth century*. Wiesbaden: Franz Steiner Verlag, 1983; Alt- und Neu-Indische Studien 26, pp. 36-45 [abbreviations pp. 241-246, notes pp. 272-286]. (on "Great Madhyamaka")

Tsultrim Gyamtso. *Progressive Stages of Meditation on Emptiness*. Oxford: Longchen Foundation, 1986, pp. 75-88 is "Stage Five: The Emptiness-of-Other (*Shentong*) Approach." (a Kagyu source)

Michael Broido. "The Jo-nang-pas on Madhyamaka: A Sketch." *Tibet Journal*, vol. 14, no. 1, Spring, 1989, pp. 86-90. (summarized from Dolpopa's *Ri chos nges don rgya mtsho*)

Paul Williams. *Mahāyāna Buddhism: The Doctrinal Foundations*. London and New York: Routledge, 1989, pp. 96-115 is chap. 5, "The *tathāgatagarbha* (Buddha-essence/Buddha-nature)," with

section on pp. 105-109, “Tibet—the *gzhan stong* and *rang stong* dispute.”

Lobsang Dargyay. “What Is Non-Existent and What Is Remanent in Śūnyatā.” *Journal of Indian Philosophy*, vol. 18, 1990, pp. 81-91, includes sub-section, pp. 85-86: “Dol-po-pa’s View of Emptiness.” (quotes from the *Ri chos nges don rgya mtsho*)

G. Somlai. “A Dohā-Interpretation according to Jo-nañ-pa Kun-dga’ sñiñ-po.” *Acta Orientalia (Hungaricae)*, vol. 44 (1-2), 1990, pp. 139-144. (gives a short *dohā* song by Kṛṣṇācārya, and Tāranātha Kun-dga’ sñiñ-po’s commentary thereon)

Dudjom Rinpoche, Jikdrel Yeshe Dorje. *The Nyingma School of Tibetan Buddhism: Its Fundamentals and History*. Boston: Wisdom Publications, 1991; vol. 1, book 1, part 3, chaps. 4 & 7: “The Superiority of Great Madhyamaka to Mind Only,” pp. 178-186; “The Two Truths According to Great Madhyamaka,” pp. 206-216. (the late Dudjom Rinpoche was head of the Nyingma order)

S. K. Hookham. *The Buddha Within: Tathagatagarbha Doctrine According to the Shentong Interpretation of the Ratnagotravibhaga*. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1991. (the first book-length study of the *gzhan stong* doctrine; draws on Kagyu sources, especially Jamgon Kongtrul, in its interpretation)

Matthew Kapstein. *The Dzam-thang Edition of the Collected Works of Kun-mkhyen Dol-po-pa Shes-rab Rgyal-mtshan: Introduction and Catalogue*. Delhi: Shedrup Books, 1992.

Gareth Sparham. “On the Proper Interpretation of Prajñāparamita.” *Dreloma: Drepung Loseling Magazine*, no. 32-33, 1994-95, pp. 20-22. (draws from opening of Dolpopa’s commentary on the *Abhisamayālaṃkāra*)

Cyrus Stearns. “Dol-po-pa Shes-rab rgyal-mtshan and the Genesis of the *Gzhan-stong* Position in Tibet.” *Asiatische Studien/Études Asiatiques*, vol. 49, no. 4, 1995, pp. 829-852.

Matthew Kapstein. “*gDams ngag*: Tibetan Technologies of the Self,” in *Tibetan Literature; Studies in Genre*, ed. José Ignacio Cabezón and Roger R. Jackson, Ithaca: Snow Lion Publications, 1996, pp. 275-289. (includes translations of two short texts on the Great Middle Way, pp. 282-283)

Matthew T. Kapstein. “From Dol-po-pa to ’Ba’-mda’ Dge-legs: Three Jo-nang-pa Masters on the Interpretation of *Prajñāpāramitā*,” in Helmut Krasser, et al., eds., *Tibetan Studies: Proceedings of the 7th Seminar of the International Association for Tibetan Studies*, vol. 1. Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1997, pp. 457-475; reprinted in his *Reason’s Traces; Identity and Interpretation in Indian & Tibetan Buddhist Thought*. Boston: Wisdom Publications, 2001, pp. 301-316. (omitting the 9-page Appendix: Contents of the *Bka’-’bum* of ’Ba’-mda’ Dge-legs, a 22-volume set including 198 works)

Ringu Tulku. “The Rime Movement of Jamgon Kongtrul the Great,” in Helmut Krasser, et al., eds., *Tibetan Studies: Proceedings of the 7th Seminar of the International Association for Tibetan Studies*, vol. 2. Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1997, pp. 817-822. (includes on p. 819 a brief section, “Rangtong and Shentong”; note: the Ri-me or non-sectarian movement, founded in Tibet in the late 1800s, widely promoted the Shentong teachings)

John W. Pettit, trans. “The Lion’s Roar Proclaiming Extrinsic Emptiness,” by Mipham, in *Mipham’s Beacon of Certainty; Illuminating the View of Dzogchen, the Great Perfection*. Boston: Wisdom Publications, 1999, pp. 415-427. (a Nyingma source)

Cyrus Stearns. *The Buddha from Dolpo: A Study of the Life and Thought of the Tibetan Master Dolpopa Sherab Gyaltsen*. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1999. (includes complete translations of Dolpopa’s *A General Commentary on the Doctrine*, and his last major work, *The Great Calculation of the Doctrine Which Has the Significance of a Fourth Council*)

Matthew T. Kapstein. “We Are All Gzhan stong pas: Reflections on *The Reflexive Nature of Awareness: A Tibetan Madhyamaka Defence* by Paul Williams” (review article). *Journal of Buddhist Ethics*, vol. 7, 2000, pp. 105-125; available at: <http://jbe.gold.ac.uk/7/kapstein001.html>

Klaus-Dieter Mathes. “Tāranātha’s Presentation of *trisvabhāva* in the *gZan stoñ sñin po*.” *Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies*, vol. 23, no. 2, 2000, pp. 195-223. (Tāranātha lived about three centuries after Dolpopa, and was the second most famous Jonangpa teacher)

Gareth Sparham. “Demons on the Mother: Objections to the Perfect Wisdom Sūtras in Tibet,” in *Changing Minds; Contributions to the Study of Buddhism and Tibet in Honor of Jeffrey Hopkins*, ed. Guy Newland. Ithaca: Snow Lion Publications, 2001, pp. 193-214. (discusses the two large Prajñā-pāramitā commentaries found in the Tengyur, one of which was a major source for Dolpopa, and the views of Dolpopa and three others on them, Bu-ston, Nya-dbon, and Tsong-kha-pa)

Jeffrey Hopkins. *Reflections on Reality; The Three Natures and Non-Natures in the Mind-Only School. Dynamic Responses to Dzongka-ba’s The Essence of Eloquence: Volume 2*. Berkeley/Los Angeles/London: University of California Press, 2002; Part Four, pp. 273-391, includes “A Different Perspective: The Jo-nang-ba Synthesis,” pp. 273-315, and “Analysis of Ge-luk-pa Criticisms of the Jo-nang-ba View,” parts 1-4, pp. 316-391. (the primary critic of the Jonangpa view was Tsongkhapa in his book, *The Essence of Eloquence*)

Klaus-Dieter Mathes. “Tāranātha’s ‘Twenty-One Differences with regard to the Profound Meaning’—Comparing the Views of the Two gZan stoñ Masters Dol po pa and Śākya mchog ldan.” *Journal of the International Association of Buddhist Studies*, vol. 27, no. 2, 2004, pp. 285-328.

Jeffrey Hopkins, trans. *Mountain Doctrine; Tibet's Fundamental Treatise on Other-Emptiness and the Buddha-Matrix*, by Dol-bo-ba Shay-rap-gyel-tsen. Ithaca: Snow Lion Publications, 2006. (a complete translation of Dolpopa's greatest work, the lengthy *Ri chos nges don rgya mtsho*)

Jeffrey Hopkins, trans. *The Essence of Other-Emptiness*, by Taranatha. Ithaca: Snow Lion Publications, 2007. (a concise work on Shentong by the second most famous Jonangpa writer, Tāranātha)